

Uttarakhand

Middle (Ranks 7-12)

Best (Ranks 1-6)

POLICE

Worst (Ranks 13-18)

	IJR 1 (2019)	IJR 2 (2020)	IJR 3 (2022) NEW
Overall	15	15	14
Police	2	7	5
Prisons	17	18	18
Judiciary	15	13	8
Legal Aid	17	10	8

IIR 1	18 large & mid	IIR 3
2	7	5

**READING THE DATA:** This page shows the state's performance over 3 IJRs and how it compares, on each indicator, against the 17 other large and mid-sized states. The state's position in each indicator is also shown through 3 colour bands. The more the blue bands, the better. 'Best value' and 'worst value' are the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

	Indicator	IJR 1	IJR 2				IJR 3	
	Budgets	Value	Value		Value	Period	Best value	Worst value
1	Spend on police per person (Rs)	1,146	1,455		1,607	2020-21	2,055 (PB)	641 (BR)
<b>*</b> 2	Share of training budget in police budget (%)				0.82	2020-21	2.66 (TS)	0.00 (KL)
<b>*</b> 3	Training budget utilization (%)				63.9	2020-21	100 (GJ/RJ)	53.5 (CH)
4	Spend on training per personnel (Rs)		4,909		5,270	2020-21	28,126 (TS)	305 (WB)
5	Modernisation fund used (%)	55	22		93	2020-21	100 (GJ)	24 (UP)
	Human Resources							
6	Constables, vacancy (%)	7.2	2.9		6.4	Jan 2022	4.6 (KL)	44.1 (WB)
7	Officers, vacancy (%)	14.8	8.6		7.2	Jan 2022	7.1 (TS)	53.8 (BR)
8	Officers in civil police (%)	10.4	9.7		10.3	Jan 2022	31.5 (WB)	9.5 (KL)
		10.1	0.7		10.0	Juli 2022	51.5 (112)	0.0 (1(L)
	Diversity							
9	Share of women in police (%)	7.7	12.2		12.8	Jan 2022	21.8 (AP)	6.2 (JH)
10	Share of women in officers (%)	8.9	18.4		18.1	Jan 2022	18.1 (UK)	2.4 (KL)
11	SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	78	39		54	Jan 2022	131 (GJ)	43 (UP)
12	SC constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		92		98	Jan 2022	116 (KA)	63 (HR)
13	ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	131	39		55	Jan 2022	176 (KA)	0.2 (PB)
14	ST constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		92		132	Jan 2022	278 (BR)	0.01 (PB)
15	OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	86	48		53	Jan 2022	154 (PB)	34 (RJ)
16	OBC constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		92		84	Jan 2022	200 (OD)	55 (WB)
	Infrastructure							
17	Population per police station (rural) (Number)	69,673	72,176	(	90,707	Jan 2022	25,010 (KL)	306,063 (WB)
18	Population per police station (urban) (Number)	59,791	76,440		58,286	Jan 2022	46,376 (OD)	288,788 (GJ)
19	Area per police station (rural) (Sq km)	521	516		641	Jan 2022	82 (KL)	684 (RJ)
20	Area per police station (urban) (Sq km)	17.7	18.0		12.9	Jan 2022	10.6 (TS)	74.5 (KL)
21	Services provided by state's citizen portals (%)		10.0		77.6	2022	91.0 (GJ)	21.6 (BR)
<b>*</b> 22	Personnel per training institute (Number)		5,521		5,601	Jan 2022	5,484 (TN)	38,382 (UP)
<b>*</b> 23	Police stations with CCTVs (%)				98	Jan 2022	100 (WB)	0.1 (RJ)
24	Police stations with women help desks (%)				98.8	Jan 2022	100 (WB)	34.9 (TN)
	Workload							
25	Population per civil police (Number)	681	696		740	Jan 2022	500 (PB)	1,695 (BR)
	Trends							
26	Women in total police (pp)	-0.38	0.83		1.02	CY '17-'21	3.52 (AP)	- 0.19 (HR)
27	Women officers in total officers (pp)	0.54	1.99		1.83	CY '17-'21	1.83 (UK)	-0.35 (TN)
28	Constable vacancy (pp)	-2.95	-0.14		-0.16	CY '17-'21	-5.40 (UP)	4.81 (MH)
29	Officer vacancy (pp)	1.77	-5.91		-1.53	CY '17-'21	-4.02 (UP)	3.42 (MH)
30	Difference in spend: police vs state (pp)	-1.67	-0.32		-0.02	FY '17-'21	6.01 (UP)	-5.47 (PB)

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. vi. Civil police includes district armed reserve police. vii. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e BR for Bihar, GJ for Gujarat etc.

		Rank among	18 larae & m	nid-sized sto	ates	R	EADING TH	IE DATA: This r	age shows the state	e's performance
P	RISONS	IJR 1	IJR 2	IIR 3		0\	/er 3 IJRs ar	nd how it comp	ares, on each indicat	or, against
	$\mathbf{\cap}$	17	18	18				0	sized states. The stat through 3 colour ba	
		IJR 3 Score (out of			2.05	th	e blue band	ds, the better. 'E	Best value' and 'wors that indicator.	
Be	st (Ranks 1-6)	ddle (Ranks 7-12)	Worst (F	Ranks 13-18			griest and is			
De			vvoist (r		)	I				
	Indicator			IJR 1	IJR 2				IJR 3	
	Budgets	]		Value	Value		Value	Period	Best value	Worst value
1	Spend per inmate (Rs)			31,905	29,384		6,112	2021-22	211,157 (AP)	6,112 (UK)
2	Prison budget utilized	(%)		87.4	85.3		95.7	2021-22	114.0 (TS)	74.0 (BR)
	Human Resources	]								
3	Officers, vacancy (%)			68.1	75.3		77.1	Dec 2021	6.9 (KL)	77.1 (UK)
4	Cadre staff, vacancy ( <sup>a</sup>	%)		71.6	32.5		37.1	Dec 2021	9.8 (TN)	60.3 (JH)
5	Correctional staff, vac	ancy (%)		50.0	33.3		NA <sup>2</sup>	Dec 2021	0.0 (TS)	100.0 (HR/PB)
6	Medical staff, vacancy	(%)		72.5	62.5		56.2	Dec 2021	7.8 (TN)	66.8 (WB)
7	Medical officers, vacar	псу (%)		100.0	100.0		90.0	Dec 2021	11.6 (TN)	90.0 (UK)
8	Personnel trained (%)				0.3		0.2	Dec 2021	155.1 (KA)	0.2 (UK)
	Diversity	]								
9	Women in prison staff	(%)		6.6	3.3		3.6	Dec 2021	32.0 (KA)	3.6 (UK)
	Infrastructure	]								
10	Prison occupancy (%)			124.3	159.0		185.0	Dec 2021	76.4 (TN)	185.0 (UK)
<b>*</b> 11	Share of jails with 100	%-plus occupancy (	%)				82	2022	11 (TN)	90 (HR)
<b>*</b> 12	Share of jails with 150	%-plus occupancy (	%)				73	2022	1 (TN)	77 (UP)
<b>★</b> 13	Undertrials detained for	or 1-3 years (%)					22.9	Dec 2021	5.2 (AP)	27.5 (RJ)
<b>★</b> 14	Inmates availed educa	tional course (%)1					0.52	Dec 2021	21.52 (TS)	0.48 (PB)
<b>*</b> 15	Inmates availed vocati	ional training (%)1					2.00	Dec 2021	7.67 (JH)	0.14 (WB)
16	Jails with V-C facility (	%)			100		100	Dec 2021	100 (HR/UK/WB)	57 (TS)
	Workload	]								
17	Inmates per officer (Nu	umber)		191	331		532	Dec 2021	21 (TN)	532 (UK)
18	Inmates per cadre stat			18.4	10.4		13.3	Dec 2021	4.2 (KL)	24.7 (JH)
19	Inmates per correction			4,200	2,814		NA <sup>2</sup>	Dec 2021	198 (TN)	22,938 (RJ)
<b>*</b> 20	Inmates per medical o						6,921	Dec 2021	418 (AP)	6,921 (UK)
	Trends	]								
21	Officer vacancy (pp)			-0.12	-0.22		1.82	CY '17-'21	-7.95 (TN)	2.30 (HR)
22	Cadre staff vacancy (p	pp)		5.60	-6.39		-6.91	CY '17-'21	-6.91 (UK)	2.40 (HR)
23	Share of women in pri			0.24	-0.59		-0.61	CY '17-'21	3.26 (BR)	-0.61 (UK)
24	Inmates per prison offi	icer (%)		3.62	9.6		23.0	CY '17-'21	-15.0 (KA)	23.0 (UK)
25	Inmates per cadre stat			14.4	-0.7		-0.9	CY '17-'21	-9.2 (KA)	8.8 (HR)
26	Share of undertrial pris			0.12	1.84		2.92	CY '17-'21	0.43 (JH)	3.75 (PB)
27	Spend per inmate (%)			25.6	14.2		-10.6	FY '18-'22	116.9 (HR)	-10.6 (UK)
28	Prison budget used (p	p)		0.12	-0.85		1.65	FY '18-'22	4.43 (TS)	-3.42 (UP)
29	Difference in spend: pr			0.86	-3.99		-8.43	FY '17-'21	16.66 (GJ)	-11.99 (TS)

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e BR for Bihar, GJ for Gujarat etc. State notes: 1. Inmates admitted during the year. 2. PSI shows 0 sanctioned and actual correctional staff.

JUDICIARY Rank among 18 large		among 18 large & r	nid-sized sto	ates	<b>READING THE DATA:</b> This page shows the state's performance over 3 IJRs and how it compares, on each indicator, against					
ינ		1 IJR 2	IJR 3	3	,		ares, on each indicat sized states. The stat	. 5		
	15	5 13	8			0	through 3 colour bo			
	IJR 3 Scor	e (out of 10)		5.63		-	Best value' and 'wors In that indicator.	t value' are the		
Be	st (Ranks 1-6) Middle (Ranks 7-	-12) 📃 Worst (	Ranks 13-18	)						
	Indicator		IJR 1	IJR 2			IJR 3			
	Budgets		Value	Value	Value	Period	Best value	Worst value		
1	Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs)		130	158	193	2020-21	270 (HR)	75 (WB)		
	Human Resources									
2	Population per High Court judge (N	umber)	1,833,871	1,355,636	1,645,429	Dec 2022	933,333 (HR/PB)	3,674,088 (BR)		
3	Population per sub. court judge (Nu	mber)	46,056	48,468	42,502	Jul 2022	42,502 (UK)	109,673 (AP)		
4	High Court judge vacancy (%)		40.5	25.0	36.4	Dec 2022	16.0 (JH)	48.0 (RJ)		
5	Sub. court judge vacancy (%)		23.6	21.1	9.4	Jul 2022	8.9 (CH)	39.0 (HR)		
6	High Court staff vacancy (%)		30.2	31.6	21.1	2021-22	11.3 (MH)	52.8 (BR)		
	Diversity									
7	Women judges (High Court) (%)		0.0	0.0	0.0	Dec 2022	27.3 (TS)	0.0 (BR/UK)		
8	Women judges (sub. court) (%)		34.8	37.3	39.1	Jul 2022	52.8 (TS)	19.5 (GJ)		
★ 9	SC judges, actual to reserved (sub.	court) (%)	54.0	57.5	83	Jul 2022	128 (CH)	0 (WB)		
★10	ST judges, actual to reserved (sub.				125	Jul 2022 Jul 2022	128 (CH) 125 (UK)	0 (OD/PB/WB)		
★11	OBC judges, actual to reserved (sub.				96	Jul 2022 Jul 2022	125 (OK)	0 (OD/PB/WB)		
						J	()	- ()		
	Infrastructure									
12	Courthall shortfall (%)		22.2	22.1	21.1	Aug 2022	-7.3 (MH)	27.7 (HR)		
	Workload									
<b>*</b> 13	Cases pending (5-10 years) (High (	Court) (%)			24.18	Jan 2023	18.62 (TN)	36.90 (KL)		
★14	Cases pending (10+ years) (High C	ourt) (%)			6.72	Jan 2023	6.46 (CH)	40.07 (UP)		
15	Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. c	ourt) (%)	9.01	8.59	11.07	Jan 2023	5.78 (PB)	28.64 (WB)		
16	Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. co	urt) (%)	1.95	1.65	1.97	Jan 2023	0.24 (HR)	19.73 (WB)		
17	Average High Court pendency (Yea	rs)	1.7		3.58	Feb 2022	3.58 (UK)	11.34 (UP)		
18	Case clearance rate (High Court) (9	6)	75	85	81	2022	156 (KL)	65 (RJ)		
19	Case clearance rate (sub. court) (%	)	87	99.6	86	2022	117 (GJ)	72 (UP)		
	Trends									
20	Cases pending (per High Court jude	no) (%)	17.1	11.2	14.4	CY '18-'22	-15.5 (TS)	21.2 (DI)		
20	Cases pending (per High Court judg Cases pending (per sub. court judg		-2.9	3.3	5.8	CY 18-22 CY 18-22	-15.5 (TS) -0.01 (JH)	31.3 (RJ) 19.4 (HR)		
21	Total cases pending (High Court) (9		10.3	10.6	7.8	CY '18-'22 CY '18-'22	-0.01 (JH) -1.0 (WB)	20.8 (RJ)		
22	Total cases pending (High Court) (%		5.5	7.8	9.7	CY 18-22 CY 18-22	-1.0 (VVB) 0.9 (GJ)	18.3 (HR)		
23	Judge vacancy (High Court) (%	1	3.66	1.11	3.33	CY 18-22 CY 18-22	-12.01 (AP)			
24 25	Judge vacancy (High Court) (pp) Judge vacancy (sub. court) (pp)		-4.57	-1.88	-2.32	CY 18-22 CY 18-22		3.37 (RJ)		
25	Case clearance rate (High Court) (pp)	n)	-4.57	-1.88	-2.32	CY 18-22 CY 18-22	-4.80 (JH) 13.56 (KL)	3.18 (HR) -5.78 (RJ)		
20	Case clearance rate (High Court) (p		-2.27	-2.90	-5.69	CY 18-22 CY 18-22	5.12 (KL)	-13.67 (WB)		
27	Difference in spend: judiciary vs sto		-5.86	-0.99	1.20	FY '17-'21	8.24 (KA)	-13.07 (VVB) -5.20 (TS)		
20			-5.00	-4.20	1.20	11 1/-21	0.24 (NA)	-5.20 (15)		

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. Sub. court: subordinate court. iii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iv. NA: Not available. v. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. vi. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. vii. States and UTs that share a High Court have been assigned identical values for High Court indicators. These are Assam, Arunachal Pradesh Mizoram and Nagaland; Kerala and Lakshadweep; Maharashtra, Goa, D&N Haveli & Daman & Diu; Punjab, Haryana and Chandigarh; Tamil Nadu and Puducherry; West Bengal and Andaman & Nicobar Islands; Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh. viii. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e BR for Bihar, GJ for Gujarat etc. ix. Separate data for Andhra Pradesh and Telangana was not available for all 5 years considered for trend indicators. Hence, a 3-year trend has been computed for them for IJR 3.

LEGAL AID		Rank among 18 large & mid-sized states				<b>READING THE DATA:</b> This page shows the state's performance over 3 IJRs and how it compares, on each indicator, against				
		IJR 1	IJR 2	IJR 3					res, on each indicate zed states. The state	0
		17	10	8		ea	ch indicato	or is also shown	through 3 colour bar	nds. The more
	U	IJR 3 Score (out o	f 10)		5.63			ds, the better. 'Be owest results in	est value' and 'worst that indicator.	value' are the
Bes	st (Ranks 1-6) Middle	e (Ranks 7-12)	Worst (	Ranks 13-18	)					
	Indicator			IJR 1	IJR 2				IJR 3	
	Budgets			Value	Value		Value	Period	Best value	Worst value
1	State's share in legal aid	budget (%)		67.8	77.2		77.9	2021-22	96.1 (UP)	62.6 (WB)
<b>*</b> 2	State legal aid budget ut	ilized (%)					72	2020-21	99.97 (KA)	35 (UP)
3	NALSA fund utilized (%)			72.3	94.6		73.2	2021-22	99.9 (JH)	50.0 (OD)
	Human Resources									
4	DLSA secretary vacancy	(%)		0.0	0.0		0.0	Mar 2022	Multiple <sup>1</sup>	31.4 (MP)
5	PLVs per lakh population	(Number)		9.4	7.3		6.2	Jun 2022	6.3 (KA)	1.2 (UP)
6	Sanctioned secretaries as	s % of DLSAs (%	)	100	100		100	Mar 2022	102 (MP)	86 (WB)
	Diversity									
7	Share of women in panel	l lawyers (%)		16.0	16.5		21.8	Jun 2022	42.4 (KL)	8.6 (RJ)
8	Women PLVs (%)			38.1	42.9		44.2	Jun 2022	62.6 (KL)	24.9 (UP)
	Infrastructure									
9	DLSAs as % of state judi	cial districts (%)		100	100		100	Mar 2022	110 (TS)	96 (UP)
<b>★</b> 10	Presence of front offices	in DLSAs (%)					100	Jun 2022	109 (CH)	100 (Others)
11	Legal services clinic per j	ail (Number)		0.82	0.91		0.91	2021-22	1.81 (GJ)	0.61 (BR)
12	Villages per legal service	s clinic (Number)		131.2	89.5		90.0	2021-22	2.8 (KL)	19,567 (CH)
	Workload									
13	PLA cases: settled as % o	of received (%)		1.6	41.8		21.1	2021-22	99.7 (GJ)	0.0 (WB)
14	Total LAs: Pre-litigation c	ases disposed (%	6)	11.7	12.4		15.8	2021-22	93.2 (MH)	6.6 (KA)
15	SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation i	in cases taken up	(%)	0.0	0.0		0.1	2021-22	76.1 (JH)	0.0 (HR/OD)

....

. . . . . . .

.. . . .

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority. vi. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e BR for Bihar, GJ for Gujarat etc.

State notes: 1. 13 states have the best value, namely AP/BR/CH/HR/JH/KA/KL/MH/OD/PB/RJ/UK/WB.

Data sources

Police: Data on Police Organizations, Bureau of Police Research and Development (BPR&D); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; Digital Police Portal, Ministry of Home Affairs; National Commission on Population, 2019; Census 2011.

**Prisons:** Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; e-Prisons portal. Judiciary: Annual Reports, Court News, Supreme Court of India; National Judicial Data Grid; Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; National Commission on Population, 2019; Department of Justice; Parliamentary Questions; eCourt India Services; DAKSH High Court database.

Legal aid: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); National Commission on Population, 2019; States' Budget Documents; Open Budgets India.

## About India Justice Report 2022



The India Justice Report (IJR) 2022 is a collaborative effort undertaken in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas. First published in 2019, the third edition of the IJR adds an assessment of the capacity of State Human Rights Commissions. It continues to track improvements and persisting deficits in each state's structural and financial capacity to deliver justice based on quantitative measurements of budgets, human resources, infrastructure, workload, and diversity across police, judiciary, prisons and legal aid for all 36 states and UTs.



Visit <u>https://indiajusticereport.org</u> for the main report, data explorer and more. Email ID: <u>indiajusticereport@gmail.com</u> Phone No.: 9717676026 / 7837144403 Factsheet design: How India Lives

Supported by:







TATA TRUSTS



Cyrus Guzder

Fiona & Louis Miranda