

Karnataka ranked fourth in consumer justice ranking among large states: Consumer Justice Report 2026

18 March, Bengaluru: A first-of-its-kind study, the *Consumer Justice Report 2026: Assessing Capacity of Redressal Commissions in India*, by the India Justice Report (IJR) released today, ranks Karnataka fourth among the 19 large and mid-sized states (with populations of over one crore each). Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan comprised the top three states.

Some encouraging trends¹:

- The district and state consumer commissions had cleared 109% of their cases on average between 2020-2024
- Karnataka reported one woman member in 2024, complying with the gender mandate under the CPA 2019.
- 43% of the SCDRC staff were women, which is the highest share among 19 large and mid-sized states.

Where improvements can be made:

- As of 2025, there was no president in the SCDRC
- There was a 88% shortfall among members in the SCDRC and 42% president's vacancy in District Dispute Redressal Commission (DCDRCs)
- Between 2022 and 2024 the average case clearance rate for the three district commissions in Bengaluru² fell from 96% to 50%

Among the nine small states (with populations less than one crore each), Meghalaya ranked first, followed by Sikkim and Himachal Pradesh.

The study, which draws primarily on public data obtained through Right to Information (RTI) and parliamentary responses, examines the capacity of consumer commissions on their capacity to fulfill the statutory obligations under the Consumer Protection Act, 2019. It ranks states based on a combination of 11 indicators in five themes including budgets, infrastructure, human resources, workload, and gender diversity.

Consumer Commissions Jurisdictions

Based on the 2021 rules³, each tier has a pecuniary jurisdiction to entertain complaints of a specified monetary value.

- DCDRCs - jurisdiction to entertain complaints where value of the goods or services paid as consideration does not exceed 50 lakh rupees.
- SCDRCs - jurisdiction to entertain complaints where value of the goods or services paid as consideration exceeds 50 lakh rupees but does not exceed 2 crore rupees.

¹ Kindly refer to the full report for detailed data tables. Available at: <https://indiajusticereport.org/>

² Bengaluru Urban Bengaluru Urban II Addl. and Bengaluru Urban III Addl.

³ The Consumer Protection (Jurisdiction of the District Commission, the State Commission and the National Commission) Rules, 2021. Available at: https://consumeraffairs.gov.in/public/upload/files/232278_1732705181.pdf

- National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission - jurisdiction to entertain complaints where value of the goods or services paid as consideration exceeds 2 crore rupees.

Third lowest share of cases pending for more than three years

Section 38(7) of the Consumer Protection Act 2019 stipulates every complaint to be disposed of as *“expeditiously as possible within a period of three months from the date of receipt of notice by opposite party where the complaint does not require analysis or testing of commodities and within five months if it requires analysis or testing of commodities.”* Karnataka reported that 28% of filed cases were pending for over three years, the third lowest after Andhra Pradesh (5%) and Rajasthan (11%) in the cluster of 19 large and mid-sized states.

Analysis of DCDRCs in Bengaluru:

The study analysed RTI responses on vacancy, caseload, gender diversity, and budget of DCDRCs in five metro cities including the five DCDRCs in the state capital of Bengaluru

1. Except Bengaluru Urban DCDRC, which did not have a president in 2025, all others reported a full complement of presidents and members between 2021 and 2025
2. In 2025, 44 of the 54 staff positions had been filled compared to 40 of the 48 positions in 2021
3. Bengaluru Urban II Additional DCDRC alone reported having a woman president
4. Between 2022 and 2024 the average case clearance rate for the three district commissions fell from 96% to 50%
5. Four DCDRCs that shared budget data utilised 100% of its allocation funds (2021-22 to 2024-25) each year

Sectoral Analysis of Consumer Cases

IJR’s analysis of cases filed and disposed between 2010 and 2024 (15 years) as available on the official portal Confonet⁴ (now e-jagriti) by IJR reveals that

1. There were 37,000 cases filed with Karnataka’s SCDRC. Of these, one in four cases (9,700) were pending, and 81% of filed cases were ‘first appeals’ - a legal remedy available to a party not satisfied with the decision passed by a district commission
2. Although 69% of cases filed with the SCDRC were under the unspecified Others category, nearly one in four cases (22%) were filed in the insurance and banking sector
3. 62% of disposed cases took more than 365 days; 75% of cases disposed took one to five hearings

IJR’s consumer dashboard can be accessed here: <https://ccdash.indiajusticereport.org/>

⁴ CONFONET was an Online Case Management System administered by the Department of Consumer Affairs to digitise the functioning of the consumer commissions across India, enabling end-to-end digital tracking from case filing to judgment at the district, state, and national levels. To modernise this framework, CONFONET 2.0 was introduced in December 2023. Subsequently, CONFONET has been subsumed into e-Jagriti, launched on 1 January 2025 as a unified platform that integrates CONFONET with other legacy systems to deliver a seamless, citizen-centric ecosystem for faster and transparent consumer justice. It allows advocates to manage cases and hearings, while providing judges secure access to end-to-end digital case files, analytics, and virtual courtrooms to facilitate faster, infrastructure-light adjudication.

Staff in SCDRC

As of 2025, the SCDRC did not have a President and of the sanctioned eight members positions, only one had been filled. The Bagla Committee Report in 2000 recommended a total of 38 staff positions including 10 peons in a SCDRC. In 2025, the state commission had filled 87% of their 61 sanctioned staff positions.

Gender Diversity

The CPA, 2019 mandates that among the members (and/or president) there be at least one woman. In 2024, Karnataka SCDRC met the mandate. One of its two members was a woman. It had the best gender diversity in staff among the large-mid sized states with 43% of its SCDRC staff positions filled by women.

The ranking of states is below:

19 Large and mid-sized states:

State	Consumer Justice Rank
Andhra Pradesh	1
Madhya Pradesh	2
Rajasthan	3
Karnataka	4
West Bengal	5
Haryana	6
Tamil Nadu	7
Assam	8
Uttarakhand	9
Uttar Pradesh	10
Maharashtra	11
Kerala	12
Bihar	13
Gujarat	14
Punjab	15
Odisha	16
Chhattisgarh	17
Jharkhand	18
Telangana	19

9 Small states:

State	Consumer Justice Rank
Meghalaya	1
Sikkim	2
Himachal Pradesh	3
Goa	4
Nagaland	5
Mizoram	6
Tripura	7
Arunachal Pradesh	8
Manipur	9

Justice (Retd.) Sanjay Kishan Kaul, Former Judge, Supreme Court of India released the report and said, “the will of the Parliament is reflected in a legislation but if the legislation is made non-functional then will is also defeated. The Consumer Protection Act, 2019 was expected to improve consumer protection capacity in the country. But it is concerning to find that more than half the president and member positions in state commissions are vacant and not all districts have formed district commissions. This has persisted despite multiple court orders including during my tenure when we had taken suo motu cognisance of capacity gaps. These significant gaps affect the consumer protection institutional structure and the access to speedy and effective redressal. Furthermore, it erodes the confidence of consumers in grievance redressal in the consumer commissions”.

Justice (retd.) Madan B Lokur said, "the Consumer Protection Act is a beneficent legislation at the centre of which is the ordinary consumer. The report clearly shows that the system is working at subsistence level, with vacancies as high as 40% in State Commissions. One in three cases is pending over three years. It is time the authorities realise that consumption and economic growth is severely affected by the lack of faith in consumer redressal mechanisms. Forty years after the Consumer Protection legislation Act was enacted the situation is terribly grim. It raises the question: Are these commissions really grievance redressal bodies? "

Maja Daruwala, Editor, India Justice Report, said, “The 2019 consumer protection law is designed to respond to the complexities of the changing marketplace in India and globally. While there are improvements and innovations compared to the previous statute, even the most progressive legislation relies on robust institutional mechanisms. Data accessed through RTI show the gaps and neglect in filling leadership vacancies in commissions which undermines the spirit of the consumer protection mandate and leads to ineffective grievance redressal. We find that in consumer commissions gender diversity is restricted to mere compliance, pendency persists, and mediation is ornamental, eventually weakening the promise of institutional resolution and redress for consumers.”

For further details, please contact:

Valay Singh
India Justice Report (indiajusticereport.org)
E: valaysingh@gmail.com
M: 9717676026

About the India Justice Report:

The India Justice Report (IJR) is a quantitative index that uses the government’s own statistics to rank the capacity of the formal justice system operating in various states. The IJR is a collaborative effort undertaken by DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas.

First published in 2019, each biennial report tracks improvements and persisting deficits in each state’s structural and financial capacity to deliver justice based on quantitative measurements of budgets, human resources, infrastructure, workload, and diversity across police, judiciary, prisons, legal aid and Human Rights Commissions for all 36 states and UTs.

Annexure I: Consumer Justice Ranking Indicators

S.No	Ranked indicator	Commission measured	Source	Time period
1	President vacancy	State Commissions	Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 5127, answered on 02.04.2025.	2025
2	Member vacancy	State Commissions	Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 5127, answered on 02.04.2025.	2025
3	President vacancy	District Commissions	Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 5127, answered on 02.04.2025.	2025
4	Member vacancy	District Commissions	Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 5127, answered on 02.04.2025	2025
5	Staff vacancy	State Commissions	RTI	2025
6	Women among president and members	State Commissions	RTI	2024
7	Women share among staff	State Commissions	RTI	2024
8	Case clearance rate	State and District Commissions	Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 4320, answered on 26.03.2025	2020 to 2024
9	Cases pending (%) for more than three years	State Commissions	RTI	2025
10	District commissions as a percentage of districts	District Commissions	Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 4320, answered on 26.03.2025	2025
11	Budget utilisation	State Commissions	RTI	F.Y. 2024-25