

**Arunachal Pradesh** 

Best (Ranks 1-3)

POLICE

Middle (Ranks 4-5)

Worst (Ranks 6-7)

Rank among 7 small states	

	IJR 1 (2019)	IJR 2 (2020)	IJR 3 (2022) NEW
Overall	6	5	2
Police	2	4	2
Prisons	3	3	1
Judiciary	7	5	4
Legal Aid	7	7	7

 Rank among 7 small states

 IJR 1
 IJR 2
 IJR 3

 2
 4
 2

 IJR 3 Score (out of 10)
 4.84

**READING THE DATA:** This page shows the state's performance over 3 IJRs and how it compares, on each indicator, against the 6 other small states. The state's position in each indicator is also shown through 3 colour bands. The more the blue bands, the better. 'Best value' and 'worst value' are the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

	Indicator	IJR 1	IJR 2			IJR 3	
	Budgets	Value	Value	Value	Period	Best value	Worst value
1	Spend on police per person (Rs)	4,869	5,826	6,552	2020-21	6,559 (SK)	1,641 (HP)
<b>*</b> 2	Share of training budget in police budget (%)			5.39	2020-21	5.39 (AR)	0.05 (HP)
<b>*</b> 3	Training budget utilization (%)			96.4	2020-21	99.5 (TR)	85.1 (SK)
4	Spend on training per personnel (Rs)		15,543	43,901	2020-21	43,901 (AR)	339 (HP)
5	Modernisation fund used (%)	44	NA <sup>1</sup>	100	2020-21	100 (AR)	42 (MZ)
	Human Resources						
6	Constables, vacancy (%)	9.6	22.1	27.8	Jan 2022	4.6 (SK)	34.2 (MZ)
7	Officers, vacancy (%)	17.8	32.8	34.7	Jan 2022	-4.2 (SK)	40.1 (TR)
8	Officers in civil police (%)	11.8	13.0	13.6	Jan 2022	27.4 (MZ)	13.6 (AR)
	Diversity						
9	Share of women in police (%)	6.8	8.7	10.7	Jan 2022	14.0 (HP)	5.3 (TR)
10	Share of women in officers (%)	5.3	5.6	5.7	Jan 2022	21.4 (MZ)	4.9 (HP)
11	SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	NA <sup>2</sup>	NA <sup>2</sup>	NA <sup>2</sup>	Jan 2022	110 (GA)	64 (TR)
12	SC constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		NA <sup>2</sup>	NA <sup>2</sup>	Jan 2022	171 (SK)	43 (GA)
13	ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	59	78	78	Jan 2022	115 (HP)	57 (TR)
14	ST constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		91	101	Jan 2022	127 (HP)	47 (GA)
15	OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	NA <sup>3</sup>	NA <sup>3</sup>	NA <sup>3</sup>	Jan 2022	88 (SK)	29 (HP)
16	OBC constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		NA <sup>3</sup>	NA <sup>3</sup>	Jan 2022	73 (HP)	27 (GA)
	Infrastructure						
17	Population per police station (rural) (Number)	19,042	24,630	21,389	Jan 2022	18,857 (GA)	85,474 (HP)
18	Population per police station (urban) (Number)	11,335	8,905	8,733	Jan 2022	8,733 (AR)	146,375 (GA)
19	Area per police station (rural) (Sq km)	NA <sup>4</sup>	$NA^4$	NA <sup>4</sup>	Jan 2022	140 (GA)	788 (MZ)
20	Area per police station (urban) (Sq km)	NA <sup>4</sup>	NA <sup>4</sup>	NA <sup>4</sup>	Jan 2022	4.3 (SK)	95.6 (GA)
21	Services provided by state's citizen portals (%)		10.0	82.6	2022	82.6 (AR/ML)	0.0 (MZ)
<b>*</b> 22	Personnel per training institute (Number)		15,283	15,287	Jan 2022	3,532 (SK)	19,300 (HP)
<b>*</b> 23	Police stations with CCTVs (%)			93	Jan 2022	100 (GA)	33 (ML)
24	Police stations with women help desks (%)			100.0	Jan 2022	100 (AR/TR)	0.0 (ML)
	Workload						
25	Population per civil police (Number)	181	238	264	Jan 2022	233 (SK)	625 (HP)
	<b>T</b>						
	Trends	0.00	0.24	0.70	0)/147.104	0.70 (1.5)	0.10 (1.17)
26	Women in total police (pp)	0.33	0.34	0.78	CY '17-'21	0.78 (AR)	-0.12 (MZ)
27	Women officers in total officers (pp)	0.49	0.09	0.08	CY '17-'21	0.60 (GA)	-0.03 (TR)
28	Constable vacancy (pp)	-4.45	2.35	3.65	CY '17-'21	0.27 (ML)	3.65 (AR)
29	Officer vacancy (pp)	-1.09	4.29	3.37	CY '17-'21	0.59 (GA)	3.71 (TR)
30	Difference in spend: police vs state (pp)	5.28	0.67	-4.61	FY '17-'21	3.51 (TR)	-4.61 (AR)

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. vi. Civil police includes district armed reserve police. vii. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e AR for Arunachal Pradesh, SK for Sikkim etc.

State notes: 1. No modernisation grant received. 2. BPR&D shows 0% SC reservation. 3. BPR&D shows 0% OBC reservation. 4. Census 2011 does not give rural/urban area break-up.

PRISONS -		Rank am	ites		<b>READING THE DATA:</b> This page shows the state's performance						
		IJR 1	IJR 1 IJR 2 IJR 3					ares, on each indicat te's position in each i			
	Δ	3	3	1		shown throug	h 3 colour ban	ds. The more the blu	e bands, the		
	1	IJR 3 Score (out of 10	)		5.32	better. 'Best value' and 'worst value' are the highest and lowest results in that indicator.					
Be	st (Ranks 1-3) Midd	le (Ranks 4-5)	Worst (Ranks 6	6-7)							
	Indicator		1]	R 1	IJR 2			IJR 3			
	Budgets		V	alue	Value	Value	Period	Best value	Worst value		
1	Spend per inmate (Rs)		32	2,710	47,368	90,837	2021-22	90,837 (AR)	13,978 (HP)		
2	Prison budget utilized (%	b)	1	00.0	96.3	99.7	2021-22	100.0 (MZ)	84.5 (GA)		
	Human Resources										
3	Officers, vacancy (%)		5	50.0	37.5	43.8	Dec 2021	29.6 (GA)	65.6 (TR)		
4	Cadre staff, vacancy (%)		1	13.1	1.5	3.1	Dec 2021	3.1 (AR)	56.2 (SK)		
5	Correctional staff, vacan			00.0	NA <sup>3</sup>	NA <sup>3</sup>	Dec 2021	0.0 (TR)	100.0 (GA)		
6	Medical staff, vacancy (			20.0	0.0	10.0	Dec 2021	10.0 (AR/ML)	84.6 (GA)		
7	Medical officers, vacancy	/ (%)	5	50.0	-50.0	0.0	Dec 2021	0.0 (AR/ML/TR)	100.0 (MZ)		
8	Personnel trained (%)				9.8	0.6	Dec 2021	14.9 (MZ)	0.0 (SK)		
	Diversity										
9	Women in prison staff (9	6)	1	.8.2	17.8	18.2	Dec 2021	25.0 (MZ)	1.8 (GA)		
	Infrastructure										
10	Prison occupancy (%)		1	00.9	106.0	75.4	Dec 2021	46.9 (TR)	166.9 (SK)		
<b>*</b> 11	Share of jails with 100%	-plus occupancy (%)				56	2022	14 (TR)	100 (GA)		
<b>*</b> 12	Share of jails with 150%	-plus occupancy (%)				33	2022	0 (GA)	56 (MZ)		
<b>*</b> 13	Undertrials detained for	1-3 years (%)				19.0	Dec 2021	11.4 (MZ)	47.2 (GA)		
<b>★</b> 14	Inmates availed education	onal course (%)1				0.00	Dec 2021	0.78 (GA)	0.00 (AR/ML/TR)		
<b>*</b> 15	Inmates availed vocation	5()				0.00	Dec 2021	28.8 (SK)	0.00 (AR/GA)		
16	Jails with V-C facility (%)				100	100	Dec 2021	100 (Multiple) <sup>2</sup>	70 (MZ)		
	Workload										
17	Inmates per officer (Num	ıber)		27	25	28	Dec 2021	28 (AR)	115 (HP)		
18	Inmates per cadre staff	(Number)		1.9	1.9	2.0	Dec 2021	2.0 (AR)	7.6 (ML)		
19	Inmates per correctional	staff (Number)	1	١A³	NA <sup>3</sup>	NA <sup>3</sup>	Dec 2021	719 (HP)	1,033 (TR)		
<b>*</b> 20	Inmates per medical offi	cer (Number)				126	Dec 2021	126 (AR)	1,438 (HP)		
	Trends										
21	Officer vacancy (pp)		3	8.75	0.00	-1.25	CY '17-'21	-1.25(AR)	3.71 (TR)		
22	Cadre staff vacancy (pp)		C	).92	-1.70	-2.00	CY '17-'21	-2.00 (AR)	6.08 (SK)		
23	Share of women in priso			0.12	0.08	0.01	CY '17-'21	2.14 (MZ)	-0.45 (ML)		
24	Inmates per prison office			1.1	18.7	3.0	CY '17-'21	-2.7 (SK)	17.0 (TR)		
25	Inmates per cadre staff			33.0	13.6	1.1	CY '17-'21	-2.3 (HP)	7.8 (SK)		
26	Share of undertrial priso	ners (pp)		4.92	-6.38	-3.26	CY '17-'21	-3.26 (AR)	5.23 (GA)		
27	Spend per inmate (%)			20.4	-0.3	30.9	FY '18-'22	37.4 (ML)	-20.6 (GA)		
28	Prison budget used (pp)			0.01	0.07	-0.07	FY '18-'22	5.85 (GA)	-1.24 (HP)		
29	Difference in spend: pris	ons vs state (pp)		7.37	3.29	-4.65	FY '17-'21	12.68 (SK)	-19.20 (GA		

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e AR for Arunachal Pradesh, SK for Sikkim etc. State notes: 1. Inmates admitted during the year. 2 Multiple states have the best value, namely AR/GA/ML/SK/TR. 3. PSI shows 0 sanctioned and actual correctional staff.

		nong 7 sm	all states		<b>READING THE DATA:</b> This page shows the state's performance over 3 IJRs and how it compares, on each indicator, against the 6 other small states. The state's position in each indicator is also				
ינ		IJR 1 IJR 2		IJR 3					
	7   5     IJR 3 Score (out of 10)		5	4				ds. The more the blu	
				4.21 better. 'Best value' and 'worst value' are the highest results in that indicator.					
Be	st (Ranks 1-3) Middle	e (Ranks 4-5)	Worst (R	anks 6-7)					
	Indicator			IJR 1	IJR 2			IJR 3	
	Budgets			Value	Value	Value	Period	Best value	Worst value
1	Per capita spend on judic	iary (Rs)		78	119	199	2020-21	635 (SK)	192 (ML)
	Human Resources								
2	Population per High Cour	t judge (Number)		2,338,689	2,152,055	1,681,917	Dec 2022	227,667 (SK)	1,941,636 (GA)
3	Population per sub. court	judge (Number)		81,396	64,128	44,229	Jul 2022	29,927 (MZ)	65,059 (ML)
4	High Court judge vacancy	/ (%)		36.5	24.0	0.0	Dec 2022	0.0 (AR/MZ/SK)	41.2 (HP)
5	Sub. court judge vacancy	(%)		28.4	23.0	14.6	Jul 2022	7.4 (HP)	48.5 (ML)
6	High Court staff vacancy	(%)		14.8	6.5	7.9	2021-22	5.3 (TR)	15.0 (HP)
	Diversity								
7	Women judges (High Cou	ırt) (%)		5.9	4.8	16.7	Dec 2022	33.3 (SK)	0.0 (ML/TR)
8	Women judges (sub. cour	t) (%)		NA <sup>1</sup>	NA <sup>1</sup>	34.3	Jul 2022	70.0 (GA)	34.0 (HP)
<b>*</b> 9	SC judges, actual to reser	ved (sub. court) (%	b)			NA <sup>2</sup>	Jul 2022	63 (TR)	0 (GA/SK)
<b>*</b> 10	ST judges, actual to reser	ved (sub. court) (%	)			104	Jul 2022	104 (AR)	33 (GA)
*11	OBC judges, actual to res	erved (sub. court)	(%)			NA <sup>3</sup>	Jul 2022	116 (SK)	15 (GA)
	Infrastructure								
12	Courthall shortfall (%)			0.0	21.3	36.6	Aug 2022	-6.0 (GA)	46.5 (ML)
	Workload								
<b>*</b> 13	Cases pending (5-10 year	rs) (High Court) (%	)			23.55	Jan 2023	0.56 (TR)	23.55 (AR/MZ)
<b>★</b> 14	Cases pending (10+ years	s) (High Court) (%)				4.27	Jan 2023	0.00 (TR)	25.76 (GA)
15	Cases pending (5-10 year	rs) (sub. court) (%)		$NA^4$	NA <sup>4</sup>	NA <sup>4</sup>	Jan 2023	0.65 (SK)	26.85 (ML)
16	Cases pending (10+ years	s) (sub. court) (%)		NA <sup>4</sup>	NA <sup>4</sup>	NA <sup>4</sup>	Jan 2023	0.16 (SK)	10.49 (ML)
17	Average High Court pend	ency (Years)		2.0		3.61	Feb 2022	0.99 (TR)	3.61 (AR/MZ)
18	Case clearance rate (High			82	91	90	2022	128 (ML)	72 (GA)
19	Case clearance rate (sub.	court) (%)		57	114	NA <sup>4</sup>	2022	113 (GA)	95 (HP)
	Trends								
20	Cases pending (per High	Court judge) (%)		-4.4	-3.9	4.8	CY '18-'22	-21.4 (TR)	21.3 (HP)
21	Cases pending (per sub. c	court judge) (%)		-4.5	3.7	NA <sup>4</sup>	CY '18-'22	-10.5 (TR)	13.5 (HP)
22	Total cases pending (High	n Court) (%)		-8.8	-1.7	10.0	CY '18-'22	-10.2 (TR)	24.7 (HP)
23	Total cases pending (sub.	court) (%)		17.2	13.2	NA <sup>4</sup>	CY '18-'22	-4.6 (TR)	15.8 (HP)
24	Judge vacancy (High Cou	rt) (pp)		3.54	-1.46	-4.24	CY '18-'22	-6.42 (TR)	1.83 (GA)
25	Judge vacancy (sub. court	t) (pp)		5.68	3.64	-4.93	CY '18-'22	-4.93 (AR)	1.99 (MZ)
26	Case clearance rate (High	n Court) (pp)		-4.16	-0.69	-1.37	CY '18-'22	5.73 (SK)	-3.20 (GA)
27	Case clearance rate (sub.			-9.45	3.48	NA <sup>4</sup>	CY '18-'22	2.87 (MZ)	-5.53 (TR)
28	Difference in spend: judici	ary vs state (pp)		36.00	40.79	10.57	FY '17-'21	10.57 (AR)	-2.83 (SK)

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. Sub. court: subordinate court. iii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iv. NA: Not available. v. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. vi. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. vii. States and UTs that share a High Court have been assigned identical values for High Court indicators. These are Assam, Arunachal Pradesh Mizoram and Nagaland; Kerala and Lakshadweep; Maharashtra, Goa, D&N Haveli & Daman & Diu; Punjab, Haryana and Chandigarh; Tamil Nadu and Puducherry; West Bengal and Andaman & Nicobar Islands; Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh. viii. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e AR for Arunachal Pradesh, SK for Sikkim etc. State notes: 1. Data not available. 2. No reservation and no SC judges. 3. No OBC reservation. 4. Data not available on National Judicial Data Grid.

LEGAL AID Rank among 7 sma			all states					age shows the state				
		IJR 1	IJR 2	IJR 3	3	over 3 IJRs and how it compares, on each indicator, against the 6 other small states. The state's position in each indicator is also						
							shown through 3 colour bands. The more the blue bands, the					
			3.10	better. 'Best value' and 'worst value' are the highest and lowest results in that indicator.								
Best (Ranks 1-3) Middle (Ranks 4-5) Worst (Ranks 6-7)												
	Indicator			IJR 1	IJR 2		IJR 3					
	Budgets			Value	Value		Value	Period	Best value	Worst value		
1	State's share in legal aid	budget (%)		13.3	11.3		NA <sup>3</sup>	2021-22	95.7 (GA)	69.7 (MZ)		
*2	State legal aid budget uti	lized (%)					NA <sup>3</sup>	2020-21	99 (HP)	49 (ML)		
3	NALSA fund utilized (%)			62.1	76.3		97.2	2021-22	102.5 (SK)	49 (GA)		
	Human Resources											
4	DLSA secretary vacancy	(%)		100.0	100.0		100.0	Mar 2022	0.0 (GA/HP)	100 (AR/MZ/SK)		
5	PLVs per lakh population	(Number)		77.0	79.8		110.8	Jun 2022	110.8 (AR)	3.8 (GA)		
6	Sanctioned secretaries as	s % of DLSAs (%)		0	25		0	Mar 2022	100 (GA/HP/ML)	0 (AR/MZ/SK)		
	Diversity											
7	Share of women in panel	lawyers (%)		15.4	18.9		22.1	Jun 2022	60.4 (ML)	22.1 (AR)		
8	Women PLVs (%)			37.6	42.5		46.3	Jun 2022	76.0 (SK)	24.7 (TR)		
	Infrastructure											
9	DLSAs as % of state judic			125	80		357	Mar 2022	400 (MZ)	100 (Multiple) <sup>1</sup>		
<b>★</b> 10	Presence of front offices i						60	Jun 2022	200 (SK)	60 (AR)		
11	Legal services clinic per jo			2.50	2.50		2.50	2021-22	2.50 (AR)	0.70 (MZ)		
12	Villages per legal services	s clinic (Number)		NA <sup>4</sup>	NA <sup>4</sup>		NA <sup>4</sup>	2021-22	5.5 (TR)	223.5 (HP)		
	Workload											
13	PLA cases: settled as % c	of received (%)		0.0	0.0		0.0	2021-22	100 (HP)	0.0 (Multiple) <sup>2</sup>		
14	Total LAs: Pre-litigation c	ases disposed (%	)	70.3	55.1		44.8	2021-22	88.4 (MZ)	5.4 (GA)		
15	SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in	n cases taken up	(%)	4.2	10.6		43.1	2021-22	43.1 (AR)	0.0 (HP)		
General r	General notes: i Star sign (*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IIP 3 ii no percentage points (the difference between two percentages) iii NA: Not available iv CY: Calendar year											

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority. vi. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e AR for Arunachal Pradesh, SK for Sikkim etc.

State notes: 1. Five states have the worst value, namely GA/HP/ML/SK/TR. 1. Five states have the worst value, namely AR/GA/ML/MZ/SK. 3. Data for state's legal aid budget not available. 4. No legal service clinics in villages.

Data sources

Police: Data on Police Organizations, Bureau of Police Research and Development (BPR&D); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; Digital Police Portal, Ministry of Home Affairs; National Commission on Population, 2019; Census 2011.

**Prisons:** Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; e-Prisons portal. Judiciary: Annual Reports, Court News, Supreme Court of India; National Judicial Data Grid; Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; National Commission on Population, 2019; Department of Justice; Parliamentary Questions; eCourt India Services; DAKSH High Court database.

Legal aid: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); National Commission on Population, 2019; States' Budget Documents; Open Budgets India.

## About India Justice Report 2022



The India Justice Report (IJR) 2022 is a collaborative effort undertaken in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas. First published in 2019, the third edition of the IJR adds an assessment of the capacity of State Human Rights Commissions. It continues to track improvements and persisting deficits in each state's structural and financial capacity to deliver justice based on quantitative measurements of budgets, human resources, infrastructure, workload, and diversity across police, judiciary, prisons and legal aid for all 36 states and UTs.



Visit <u>https://indiajusticereport.org</u> for the main report, data explorer and more. Email ID: <u>indiajusticereport@gmail.com</u> Phone No.: 9717676026 / 7837144403 Factsheet design: How India Lives

Supported by:











Cyrus Guzder

Fiona & Louis Miranda