

# Arunachal Pradesh

Rank among  
7 small-sized  
states ▶

Overall  
**6th**

Police  
**2nd**

Prisons  
**3rd**

Judiciary  
**7th**

Legal aid  
**7th**



## POLICE

RANK IN CATEGORY

**2nd**

SCORE (Out of 10)



**4.51**

**HOW TO READ THE DATA:** Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 small-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

### Budgets

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Modernisation fund used (% , 2016-17)	44		1	59	2
Spend on police per person (Rs, 2015-16)	4,869		1,117	4,869	1

### Human Resources

Constables, vacancy (% , Jan 2017)	9.6		20.5	1.9	4
Officers, vacancy (% , Jan 2017)	17.8		21.6	-11.1	5
Officers in civil police (% , Jan 2017)	11.8		10.7	33.0	6

The state had a low share of women officers in its total force.

### Diversity

Share of women in police (% , Jan 2017)	6.8		4.3	12.2	5
Share of women in officers (% , Jan 2017)	5.3		3.5	20.2	6
SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (% , Jan 2017)	NA		63	178	NA
ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (% , Jan 2017)	59		54	125	5
OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (% , Jan 2017)	NA		20	184	NA

Among small states, urban and rural police stations served the least number of people.

### Infrastructure

Population per police station (rural) (Jan 2017)	19,042		91,209	19,042	1
Population per police station (urban) (Jan 2017)	11,335		113,352	11,335	1
Area per police station (rural) (sq km, Jan 2017)	NA		852	181	NA
Area per police station (urban) (sq km, Jan 2017)	NA		100	5	NA

### Workload

Population per civil police (persons, Jan 2017)	181		679	181	1
---	-----	--	-----	-----	---

The share of women in the police force was low. The average improvement in their representation was the least of all small states.

### Trends

Women in total police (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.33		0.33	0.85	7
Women officers in total officers (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.49		0.00	1.55	3
Constable vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-4.45		3.35	-4.45	1
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-1.09		2.07	-3.76	3
Difference in spend: police vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	5.28		-4.82	6.50	1

Data sources: Data on Police Organizations, Bureau of Police Research and Development (BPR&D); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Jan 2017' is as of January 1, 2017. 2. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Civil police includes district armed reserve police. 7. SC/OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio: BPR&D shows no officers and 0% reservation. 8. Area per police station (rural/urban): Census 2011 doesn't give rural/urban area breakup.



# PRISONS

RANK IN CATEGORY

3<sup>rd</sup>

SCORE (Out of 10)



4.10

**HOW TO READ THE DATA:** Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 small-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

## Budgets

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Spend per inmate (Rs, 2016-17)	32,710		22,354	95,982	3
Prison budget utilised (% , 2016-17)	100		55	100	1

## Human Resources

Officers, vacancy (% , Dec 2016)	50.0		50.0	11.1	7
Cadre staff, vacancy (% , Dec 2016)	13.1		28.3	8.8	2
Correctional staff, vacancy (% , Dec 2016)	100.0		100.0	42.9	3
Medical staff, vacancy (% , Dec 2016)	20.0		33.3	-10.0	2
Medical officers, vacancy (% , Dec 2016)	50.0		60.0	0.0	2

1 out of 2 prison officer and medical officer posts were vacant. All 4 sanctioned correctional officer posts were vacant.

## Diversity

Women in prison staff (% , Dec 2016)	18.2		2.2	18.8	2
--------------------------------------	------	--	-----	------	---

Prisons in Arunachal had nearly the highest representation of women among prison staff.

## Infrastructure

Prison occupancy (% , Dec 2016)	101		132	37	2
---------------------------------	-----	--	-----	----	---

## Workload

Inmates per officer (persons, Dec 2016)	27		100	20	2
Inmates per cadre staff (persons, Dec 2016)	2		7	2	1
Inmates per correctional staff (persons, Dec 2016)	NA		1,161	548	NA

## Trends

Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	3.75		7.34	-0.18	4
Cadre staff vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.92		4.49	-0.04	4
Share of women in prison staff (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.12		-1.50	0.79	4
Inmates per prison officer (% , CY '12-'16)	41.1		41.1	-1.7	7
Inmates per cadre staff (% , CY '12-'16)	33.0		33.0	0.3	7
Share of undertrial prisoners (pp, CY '12-'16)	-4.92		3.69	-4.92	1
Spend per inmate (% , FY '13-'17)	20.4		2.7	84.4	2
Prison budget used (pp, FY '13-'17)	0.01		-7.05	6.04	5
Difference in spend: prisons vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	7.4		-9.4	113.0	1

Nationally, the state registered the largest reduction in its UTP population over a 5 year period, falling from 94% to 64%. It's current prison population is 214 inmates.

Data sources: Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Dec 2016' is as of December 31, 2016. 2. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 3. NA: Not available. 4. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year.



# JUDICIARY

RANK IN CATEGORY

7<sup>th</sup>

SCORE (Out of 10)



2.81

**HOW TO READ THE DATA:** Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 small-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

## Budgets

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs, 2015-16)	78		78	464	7

## Human Resources

Population per High Court judge (2016-17)	2,338,689		2,338,689	244,231	6
Population per sub. court judge (2016-17)	81,396		81,396	30,386	7
High Court judge vacancy (% , 2016-17)	36.5		36.5	16.7	6
Sub. court judge vacancy (% , 2016-17)	28.4		52.0	6.5	4
High Court staff vacancy (% , 2016-17)	14.8		19.5	6.2	3

Nearly 37% of the sanctioned judge posts at the High Court level were vacant. This was the second highest among small states.

## Diversity

Women judges (High Court) (% , Jun 2018)	5.9		0.0	33.3	3
Women judges (sub. court) (% , Jul 2017)	NA		20.8	73.8	NA

## Infrastructure

Courthall shortfall (% , 2016-17, Mar 2018)	0.0		46.0	0.0	1
---	-----	--	------	-----	---

Though the state had enough courthalls, they remain unused due to shortage of subordinate court judges.

## Workload

Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. court) (% , Aug 2018)	NA		15.25	0.28	NA
Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. court) (% , Aug 2018)	NA		10.78	0.14	NA
Average High Court pendency (years, Sep 2017)	2.0		3.0	1.3	3
Average sub. court pendency (years, Aug 2017)	NA		6.3	2.7	NA
Case clearance rate (High Court) (% , 2016-17)	82		75	110	4
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (% , 2016-17)	57		57	106	7

## Trends

Cases pending (per High Court judge) (% , FY '13-'17)	-4.4		15.4	-19.2	4
Cases pending (per sub. court judge) (% , FY '13-'17)	-4.5		21.4	-4.5	1
Total cases pending (High Court) (% , FY '13-'17)	-8.8		22.2	-17.9	3
Total cases pending (sub. court) (% , FY '13-'17)	17.2		40.4	2.3	5
Judge vacancy (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	3.54		6.52	-5.00	3
Judge vacancy (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	5.68		5.68	-6.88	7
Case clearance rate (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-4.16		-4.16	4.86	6
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-9.45		-9.45	2.06	7
Difference in spend: judiciary vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	36.00		-3.08	36.00	1

At the subordinate court level, over 5 years, the total cases pending and the vacancies have increased.

Data sources: Court News, Supreme Court of India; National Judicial Data Grid; eCourts Services; Websites of High Courts; Approaches to Justice in India: A Report by DAKSH; Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Application under Right to Information (RTI) Act filed by Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy; Open Budgets India; Department of Justice.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Aug 2018' is as of August 23, 2018; for 'Sep 2017' is as of September 19, 2017; for 'Aug 2017' is as of August 29, 2017. 2. Sub. court: subordinate court. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Since Assam, Mizoram, Nagaland and Arunachal Pradesh share a High Court, they have been assigned the same values for High Court indicators. 7. Women judges (sub. court): data not available. 8. Cases pending (5-10 years) and (10+ years) (sub. court): data not available on NJDG. 9. Average sub. court pendency: Not considered due to paucity of data.



# LEGAL AID

RANK IN CATEGORY

7<sup>th</sup>

SCORE (Out of 10)



2.67

**HOW TO READ THE DATA:** Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 small-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

## Budgets

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
NALSA fund utilised (% , 2017-18)	62		7	95	4
State's share in legal aid spend (% , 2017-18)	13		13	48	7

## Human Resources

DLSA secretary vacancy (% , 2019)	100.0		100.0	0.0	4
PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019)	77.0		13.4	84.3	2
Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (% , 2019)	0		0	100	2

No full-time DLSA secretary posts were sanctioned.

## Diversity

Women panel lawyers (% , Jan 2019)	15.4		15.4	53.7	7
Women PLVs (% , Jan 2019)	37.6		27.1	66.3	4

## Infrastructure

DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (% , 2019)	125		63	157	1
Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18)	NA		141.7	2.5	6
Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18)	2.50		0.00	2.50	1

While, on average, both jails in the state are served by a legal services clinic, none of its 5,258 villages have a legal services clinic.

## Workload

PLA cases: settled as % of received (% , 2017-18)	0		0	83	4
Total LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed (% , 2017-18) *	70.3		3.0	96.8	2
SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (% , 2017-18) **	4.2		0.0	27.7	4

Data sources: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB).

Notes: 1. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority. 2. Villages per legal services clinic: State did not have any legal service clinic.

Full indicators: \* NLAs + SLSA LAs: Share of pre-litigation cases in disposed cases (% , 2017-18); \*\* SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed as % of total cases taken up (% , 2017-18).



## About India Justice Report

The India Justice Report 2019 provides the first comprehensive quantitative index that ranks the capacity of the formal justice system operating in various states on their police, prisons, judiciary and legal aid. This ranking was supported and facilitated by Tata Trusts in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas.

Visit [www.tatatrusts.org](http://www.tatatrusts.org) for the main report, ranking and methodology, data visualisations, related research and more.

Data and design: How India Lives